

2023/2024 Executive Director Performance Scorecard template Executive Director: Corporate Services 1 July 2023 - 30 June 2024														
1	No.	Objective	Key Performance Indicator	Definition	Baseline	Baseline	Annual Target	Q1 30 Sep 2023	Q 2 31 Dec 2023	Q 3 31 Mar 2024	Q4 30 Jun 2024	WEIGHTING	Contact Department	
					2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024	Target	Target	Target	Target			
	MUNICIPAL INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSFORMATION												20%	
	MUNICIPAL FINANCIAL VIABILITY												10%	
	SERVICE DELIVERY/GOOD GOVERNANCE												60%	
	SPECIAL PROJECTS												10%	
													100%	
	MUNICIPAL INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSFORMATION												20%	
	1	Transversal Management	Increase in PPM maturity level based on the PPM Operating Model (%)	Definition The original PPM maturity level is based on the PPM Operating Model maturity assessment that was tabled at EMT during June 2018. The maturity assessment will reflect the maturity at Portfolio, Programme and Project level and will occur on an annual basis in order to assess the increase in the maturity level. The maturity level is measured on a scale of 1 - 5. The maturity levels: * Level 1 – Initial Process: No standard process or tracking system – informal process * Level 2 – Repeatable Process: Minimum standards for processes and procedures * Level 3 – Defined Process: Defined Process which allow for flexibility * Level 4 – Managed Process: Obtain and retain specific measurements and quality requirements * Level 5 – Optimised Process: Continuous process improvement and proactive technology and problem management for future improvements The EDs for Finance and Future Planning and Resilience will be measured on a City-wide maturity level. All other EDs will be measured per the individual directorate. <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective = achieve increase of 0.1 - 0.2 Significantly above expectation = range between 0.21 - 0.5 Outstanding performance = 0.51 and above	2.60	Actual achieved of prior year +increase 0.1 - per directorate individual achievement	Actual achieved of prior year +increase 0.1 - per directorate individual achievement	AT	AT	AT	Actual achieved of prior year +increase 0.1 - per directorate individual achievement	2%	Department: CPPPM Source document: PPM assessment Contact person: Ben Peters 021 400 9206	

2	2	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	Repeatable Tenders that were Expanded/Amended multiple times (%)	Definition Measures the percentage of Expansions and Amendments on repeatable tenders. This indicator will only measure instances where there was more than one Expansion and/or Amendment on the same tender. Expansions or Amendments are defined by the SCM section 116 (3) guide and can change at any time. Source: Contract Register and Monitoring System and Tender Tracking System. Measurement will commence only when the second Expansions and/or Amendments are released in SAP MM and only applies to repeatable tenders that are active in the current FY (i.e. commenced before or in the financial year and expires in or after the FY). Formula: Total number of repeatable tenders expanded and/ or amended multiple times ÷ Total number of repeatable tenders active in the FY. Measured cumulatively. <u>Performance rating:</u> Not fully effective= 2.1% and above Fully effective = 2% Significant performance = range 0.1% - 1.9% Outstanding = 0%	New	2%	2%	AT	AT	AT	2%	2%	Department: CPPPM Source document: CMRS (Contract Register and Monitoring System) and Tender Tracking System Contact person: Maureen Whare 069 367 4023
---	---	---	--	---	-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	--

3	3	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	Reduction in the number of SCM deviations (%)	Definition The objective is to achieve a 20% reduction on SCM deviations. The indicator only applies to Supply Chain Management (SCM) deviations above R200 000 (inclusive of VAT). Sole/single service provider deviations and deviations relating to emergencies will be excluded from the measurement as per section 36 of SCM regulations. The indicator will measure the percentage year on year reduction from the previous year's number of SCM deviations. Formula: (No. of SCM deviations for current year – No of SCM deviations for prior year)/ Number of SCM deviations for prior year X 100" Performance rating: Unacceptable performance= any positive increase in deviations. Not fully effective= decrease deviations between 1% and 19%. Fully effective= decrease deviations by 20%. Exception: A default performance rating of fully effective will be awarded if you have 2 or less deviations starting from a base of zero. Significant performance = decrease deviations between 21% and 99%. Outstanding performance = 0 deviations	New	20%	20%	AT	AT	AT	20%	2%	Department: SCM Source document: SCM deviations schedule Formula: Number of SCM deviations reduced by the target percentage % year on year for the department/ directorate. Contact person: Abu Bakr Moerat SCM: Head of Supplier Management and Administration 021 400 3007
4	4	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	Reduction in the number and value of irregular expenditure identified for the Directorate (year-on-year) (average %)	Definition The objective is to achieve a 50% reduction on irregular expenditure. The indicator will measure the average percentage reduction in the number and value of irregular expenditure i.e. contravention of legislation as defined in the irregular expenditure definition. Irregular expenditure is defined as expenditure that is in contravention of, or not in accordance with, a requirement of the Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act 56 of 2003, the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000, the Remuneration of Public Office Bearers Act 20 of 1998, or the municipality's supply chain management (SCM) policy. Indicator will measure Irregular expenditure that arose only in the time of employment of the ED. Irregular expenditure will be measured based on the financial year in which it is disclosed. Irregular expenditure is based on self-disclosure or detected by an assurance provider (including AGSA) for the current financial year and the year preceding the current financial year where the ED was accountable for the directorate. Formula: (Non-compliance Instances for the current year – Non-compliance instances for prior year)/ Non-compliance instance for prior year X 100" + (Irregular expenditure amount for current year – Irregular expenditure amount for prior year)/ Irregular expenditure amount for prior year X 100" / 2 Refer to table below for guidance on calculation: <u>Performance rating</u> Not fully effective = a reduction of above 0% and less than 50% Fully effective = a reduction between 50%-99% Outstanding = 100% reduction or 0 instances	N/A	-50%	50%	AT	AT	AT	50%	2%	Department: City Manager Office Source document: Irregular Register and the AG's findings in the quarter that it is available. Contact person: Gayle Postings 021 400 1268

5	5	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	External audit (Auditor General) audit actions completed as per audit action plan (%)	Definition This indicator measures how many actions was completed in the financial cycle within in the unique deadline set, as per the audit action plan. The Audit Action Plan sets out the total number audit actions required to address the internal control deficiencies as identified by the Auditor-General in their management report. Completed would mean that the actions as stipulated in the audit action plan has been executed by the relevant ED and/or Director. Should there be no actions required for an Executive Director and/or Director the indicator will not be applicable. <u>Performance rating:</u> Outstanding =100% No scores for fully effective and significant.	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	2%	Department: Investor Relations Source document: Completed Audit Action plan Contact person: Lynn Fortune:021 400 5987
6	6	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	Final council decisions implemented within timeframes (%)	Definition The indicator excludes all council decisions for noting. Decisions taken by council where there is no budget to implement actions or outside of the control of the ED. These exclusions must be supported by valid evidence. These decisions must be reflected on each quarterly report for the City Manager and/or panel's awareness. All final Council decisions allocated to the ED with implementation date for the quarter must be implemented and reported on for the quarter to achieve the target. Implementation that runs over a period longer than a financial year will be measured according to milestones identified for implementation within the current financial year. Each ED must ensure that a date is allocated of when action will be completed and implemented. This must be captured in the CDIT system by the relevant implementer. If no timeframe was allocated the timeframe is deemed to be one month for implementation. Implementation is the date of completion of the actions or achievement of councils request or expectation. Weighting must be reallocated where there were no council decisions for the year. <u>Performance rating:</u> Outstanding performance= 100% Score of 5 and scoring will apply downwards where decisions were not implemented. Significant performance= range between 99% - 80% of ALL decisions Fully effective= range between 79% -70% of ALL decisions Below 70% not effective	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	1%	Each ED is responsible for implementation, evidence and updates to the tracking system. Source document: SharePoint tracking Tracking System contact person: Rehana Razack (021 400 1246) Weighting must be reallocated where there were no council decisions for the year.

7	7	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	Final mayco decisions implemented within timeframes (%)	Definition The indicator excludes all mayco decisions for noting. Decisions taken by mayco where there is no budget to implement actions or outside of the control of the ED. These exclusions must be supported by valid evidence. These decisions must be reflected on each quarterly report for the City Manager and/or panel's awareness. All final Mayco decisions allocated to the ED with implementation date for the quarter must be implemented and reported on for the quarter to achieve the target. Implementation that runs over a period longer than a financial year will be measured according to milestones identified for implementation within the current financial year. Each ED must ensure that a date is allocated of when action will be completed and implemented. This must be captured in the CDIT system by the relevant implementer. If no timeframe was allocated the timeframe is deemed to be one month for implementation. Implementation is the date of completion of the actions or of achievement of mayco's request or expectation. Weighting must be reallocated where there were no mayco decisions for the year. <u>Performance rating:</u> Outstanding performance= 100% Score of 5 and scoring will apply downwards where decisions were not implemented. Significant performance= range between 99% - 80% of ALL decisions Fully effective= range between 79% -70% of ALL decisions Below 70% not effective	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	1%	Each ED is responsible for implementation, evidence and updates to the tracking system. Source document: SharePoint tracking Tracking System contact person: Rehana Razack (021 400 1246) Weighting must be reallocated where there were no mayco decisions for the year.
8	8	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	16.J Budget spent on implementation of Workplace Skills Plan (%)	Definition Measures the percentage of budget spent on the Workplace Skills Plan .The Workplace Skills Plan outlines the planned education, training and development interventions for the organisation. Its purpose is to formally plan and allocate budget for appropriate training interventions that will address the needs arising out of local government's skills sector plan, the IDP, the individual departmental staffing strategies, individual employees' personal development plans and the employment equity plan. Proxy measure for NKPI per MSA Regulation 10(f). Formula: % spent on the implementation of the WSP measured against the training budget. (A/B)*100 A: Actual spend per quarter on training budget B: Planned spend on training Budget for the year <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective = 90%-93% Significant performance= between range from 94%% to 96%. Outstanding performance = above 96%	131.10%	90%	90%	10%	30%	60%	90%	1%	Source documents: WSP report per quarter Contact person: Nonzuzo Ntubane 021 400 4056

9	9	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	Internal independent assurance provider's functions recommendations implemented (%)	Definition It is the monitoring and reporting of the implementation (expressed as a percentage) of corrective actions to address internal independent assurance providers' recommendations, issued within the directorate of the responsible Executive Director. The internal independent assurance providers included in this KPI are Internal Audit, Ombudsman, Integrated Risk Management, Ethics and Forensics departments. Each internal independent assurance provider as mentioned above has their own unique input to this KPI. This KPI will be “not applicable” to management when there are no recommendations, thus only those that could provide inputs will be included in the calculation. <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective = 85% - 90% Significant performance = between range of 91% to 94% Outstanding performance= 95% and above	86%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	1%	Department: Office of City Manager Source documents: refer to definition Contact person: Babalwa Mothibi
10	10	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	16.A Community satisfaction city-wide survey(score 1-5)	Definition Measures the score on the Community satisfaction City-wide survey. A statistically valid, scientifically defensible score from the annual survey of residents' perceptions of the overall performance of the City's. The measure is given against a non-symmetrical Likert scale, where 1 is poor, 2 is fair, 3 is good, 4 is very good, and 5 is excellent. The objective is to improve the current customer satisfaction level. <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective = 2.8 - 2.9 Significant performance = between range of 3.0 - 3.1 Outstanding performance = 3.1 and above	2.7	2.8	2.9	Annual Target	Annual Target	Annual Target	2.9	2%	Department: Organisational Effectiveness and Innovation Source document: Community Satisfaction survey score Contact person: Brigette Morris 021 400 3812
11	11	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	Inclusion of C88 output indicators (%)	Definition All Tier 1 and Tier 2 Circular 88 output indicators to be included into the performance scorecards of the Executive Directors, where relevant. All indicators must be included verbatim into the corporate and Directorate SDBIP and the measurement must be in compliance with the technical indicator descriptions (TID) as issued by National Treasury. (Targets is annual due to the fact that amendments can only be made in the mid-year scorecards) Formula: Percentage: Total number of Tier 1 & 2 included in the directorate SDBIP divided by Tier 1 & 2 required for inclusion as per C88 <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective = 90 - 99% inclusion of Tier 1&2 Significant performance = 100% inclusion of Tier 1&2	New	New	100%	AT (YTD progress)	AT (YTD progress)	AT (YTD progress)	100%	1%	Department: Organisational Performance Management (OPM) Source document: C88 scorecard/ Directorate SDBIP Contact person: Monique Fillies - Manager: City Performance Management 021 400 9024

12	12	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	Average of tenders in slippage at any given quarter end (%)	Definition Measures the average of tenders for MTREF in slippage at any given quarter end. This will drive the timeous implementation of the demand plan based on the agreed timeline. Abnormalities in the timeline will then impact each step of the process, such as where a tender only allows 30 days after award to Contract Required by Date (CRD), there will probably be a contract gap or a Value At Risk (VAR – Budget at risk of not being spent) on the Capital reporting. Slippage is defined as tenders on the demand plan which are behind schedule based on the agreed timelines for each tender. Slippage in the implementation of the demand plan, is a contributor to the cause of VAR. This will include the submission of draft specifications as per the minimum timeframe before the CRD. Formula: Total count of tenders in red or amber as a percentage of the total number of tenders required for the MTREF period (average %). <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective = 9% - 10% Significant performance = ≥5% - <9% Outstanding performance = < 5%	New	New	≤10%	≤10%	≤10%	≤10%	≤10%	1%	Department: Supply Chain Management Source document: Demand Plan Overview Contact person: Bekumuzi Vumase - Manager Demand Management
13	13	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	Gaps in repeatable tender continuity (average number of days)	Definition This indicator is to ensure continued monthly service delivery implemented through repeatable tenders. Potential gaps should be mitigated via extension/expansion and/or deviation early enough so that it caters for any possible delay within a tender process. A gap less than one month will be included in the calculation. To drive the demand plan and improve Supply Chain Management (SCM) process and service delivery. Formula: Total number of gaps in days/ Total number of tenders with a gap of more than zero days = Average number of gaps (days) (Effectively the report should be 'blank'.) Using the gap report developed by Zubair which takes current status and projected time for completion into account. <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective = repeatable tenders with gaps with > than 30 days ≤ than 90 days Significant performance = repeatable tenders with gaps with ≤ than 30 days Outstanding performance = zero repeatable tenders with gaps	New	New	0	0	0	0	0	1%	Department: CPPPM Source document: GAP report prepared by Zubair Contact person: Zubair Adams

14	14	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	Memorandum of Agreements (MOA) submitted to Legal for vetting prior to BAC (%)	Definition Measures the percentage of MOAs submitted to Legal for vetting prior to BAC decision. (This is 100% within the control of the Directorate and contributes to the efficiency of the process by overlapping the vetting with the award and appeal period) Formula: Progressive per quarter using the Post Award Tracker based on all awards made by BAC in a financial year for that Directorate. <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective = 95% - 96% Significant performance = 97% - 98% Outstanding performance = above 98%	New	New	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	1%	Department: CPPPM Source document: Post award tracker prepared by Zubair Contact person: Zubair Adams
	MUNICIPAL FINANCIAL VIABILITY											10%	
15	1	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	16.D Spend of capital budget (%) (NKPI)	Measures the extent to which capital expenditure has been spent based on the original budget during the financial year. Capital expenditure is all costs incurred by the municipality to acquire, upgrade, and renew physical assets such as property, plants, buildings, technology, or equipment. Proxy measure for NKPI per MSA Regulation 10(c). Proxy measure for C88 FM1.11 <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective: 90% - 93% Significantly performance = a range >93% - 96% Outstanding performance = above 96%	92.66%	90%	90%	Dir/Dept. projected cash flow/ total budget	Dir/Dept. projected cash flow/ total budget	Dir/Dept. projected cash flow/ total budget	90%	6%	Directorate Finance Manager
16	2	16. A Capable and Collaborative City Government	Operating budget spend (%)	This indicator measures the total actual expenditure to date as a percentage of the total budget including secondary expenditure. <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective: 95% - 96% Significantly performance = a range >96% - 97% Outstanding performance = above 97%	93.91%	95%	95%	Dir/Dept. projected cash flow/ total budget	Dir/Dept. projected cash flow/ total budget	Dir/Dept. projected cash flow/ total budget	95%	4%	Directorate Finance Manager

		SERVICE DELIVERY/GOOD GOVERNANCE											60%	
17	1	16 A capable and collaborative City government	Investigation into the transition of the current City Fleet to Electric Vehicles (EVs), Zero and/or low Emission Fleet (%)	Definition The tabling of a draft report on the outcome of an investigation into the feasibility of expansion to other City owned vehicle fleets with recommendations of Electric Vehicles (Evs), zero and/or low emission fleet alternatives. <u>Performance rating:</u> Outstanding =100% No scores for fully effective and significant.	New	New	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	6%	Department: Fleet Management Contact person: Bevan van Schoor	
18	2	16 A capable and collaborative City government	Approval of revised Fleet Management Strategy (%)	Definition This indicator measures the Percentage Approval of the revised of the Fleet Management Strategy <u>Performance rating:</u> Outstanding =100% No scores for fully effective and significant.	New	New	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	5%	Department: Fleet Management Contact person: Bevan van Schoor	
19	3	16 A capable and collaborative City government	Visual assessments undertaken of all facilities for 3 SLA clients (%)	Definition This indicator measures the percentage of Visual Assessments undertaken of all facilities for 3 SLA clients. <u>Performance rating:</u> Outstanding =100% No scores for fully effective and significant.	New	100% of facilities managed by facilities management department.	100%	5%	25%	60%	100%	10%	Department: Facilities Management Contact person: Regan Melody	
20	4	16 A capable and collaborative City government	Implementation of Visible Improvement Initiatives (%)	Definition This indicator measures the implementation of visible improvement initiatives at City Buildings <u>Performance rating:</u> Outstanding =100% No scores for fully effective and significant.	New	New	100%	5%	25%	75%	100%	6%	Department: Facilities Management Contact person: Regan Melody	

21	5	16 A capable and collaborative City government	Initial Investigation around the Civic Centre Complex Development (%)	Definition This indicator will measure the progress made towards the development of the Civic Centre Complex Q1 - Detailed Planning Stage (Engage with Directorates Support Managers to Review detailed Masterplan) Q2 - Approve Planning Stage (Civic Final Masterplanning ED CS Final draft Review) Q3-Q4 - Adaaptation Stage <u>Performance rating:</u> Outstanding =100% No scores for fully effective and significant.	New	New	100%	25%	50%	75%	100%	10%	Department: Facilities Management Contact person: Regan Melody
22	6	17 A capable and collaborative City government	Number of fibre sites connected	Definition This indicator will measure the number of fibre sites connected <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective = 70 Significantly above expectation= 71 - 75 Outstanding performance= 76 and above	New	New	70	12	27	47	70	6%	Department: Information Systems and Technology Contact person: Shauaib Parker
23	7	16 A capable and collaborative City government	Kilometres of fibre infrastructure for broadband connectivity installed (kilometres)	Definition: Total length of optic fibre installed in the ground. <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective = 78.2km-80km Significant performance= 81km- 92km Outstanding performance=above 92km	New	25	78.2km	7.5km	22.5km	47.5km	78.2km	5%	Department: Information Systems and Technology Contact person: Shauaib Parker
24	8	16 A capable and collaborative City government	Adherence to service standards (%)	Definition: The service request must be adhered to within the approved timeframes. This indicator measures the percentage adherence to citywide service standards based on external notifications. External notifications are requests for services from the public." FOR CORPORATE SERVICES <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective = 90%-93% Significant performance=between range of 93% to 96%. Outstanding performance=above 96%	85.35%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	6%	Department: Customer Relations Contact person: Pearl Nolutando Nongqongqo

25	9	4 Well-managed and modernised infrastructure to support inclusive economic growth	CRM Satisfaction Survey for Corporate Contact Centre (CCC) (Likert scale 1 to 5)	Definition: Customer Relations Management Satisfaction Survey for Corporate Contact Centre (CCC) (Likert scale 1 to 5) <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective = 4.4 Significantly above expectation= 4.5 - 4.7 Outstanding performance= 4.8 - 5	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	6%	Department: Customer Relations Contact person: Pearl Nolutando Nongqongqo
	SPECIAL PROJECTS												10%	
26	1	CM request CM memo	Staff housing population verified, per directorate (%)	Measures the percentage of Staff Housing population verified by confirming occupancy, operational need, and conditions as per the approved allocation list, as compiled by the Directorate coordinators to ensure validity, accuracy and completeness by conducting an annual site visits to confirm staff occupancy against the approved list. The approved list must include the following: • Property occupancy – staff member confirmed, including staff number. • Need for staff member to occupy property i.e. operational need. • Lease number and tenure. • Rental value. • Fringe benefit registration, confirmed with Payroll. • Annual site visit records (pictures) to confirm occupancy and property conditions. • Escalation intervention requests, where property conditions place tenants at risk.	N\A	N\A	N\A	N\A	N\A	N\A	N\A	N\A	N\A	N\A
27	2	1. Increased Jobs and Investment in the Cape Town economy Mayoral Priority Programme	Number of development transports to production DAMS system	Definition Improve the DAMS user experience by enhancing current DAMS functionality and adding additional DAMS functionality to the DAMS system Increased digitization of business facing services that is within CAR <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective = 15 Significant performance= 16 - 20 Outstanding performance=21 and above	New	New	15	5	10	13	15	3%	Department: Information Systems and Technology Contact person: Shauaib Parker	
28	3	MFMA compliance	Completion of MFMA Competency by Executive Directors (%)	The indicator measures the adherence to the MFMA. Section 16 of the MFMA requires Executive Directors (EDs) to meet the minimum competency levels. The attainment of competency levels must be obtained within prescribed timeframes and must be included in performance agreements. EDs have 18 months to complete, which commences on date that contract was signed. Within the 18 month period the 100% target will represent the modules completed for the year. Amendment to improve accuracy of indicator and provide clarity in terms of what should be excluded from calculation. <u>Performance rating:</u> Fully effective = 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Compulsory for all EDs that have not completed the MFMA competency and/ or have outstanding modules. Zero rated weighting.

